

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JAMALL S. BAKER,

Plaintiff,

v.

TAMMY O'REILLY, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C21-361 MJP

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH
MOTION

This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motion to File Overlength Brief. (Dkt. No. 244.) Defendants seek an addition 1,050 words to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's Order on their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. Defendants justify this request on the theory that the Court "inadvertently overlooked LCR 7(g)(4), which permits the Court to order a response to a surreply motion" and therefore they should have additional space in which to seek reconsideration. (Mot. at 1.) Defendants are wrong—the Court overlooked nothing. Local Civil Rule 7(g)(4) states: "No response [to a surreply] shall be filed unless requested by the court." Here, the Court believed no response was necessary or appropriate, particularly since the

1 surreply raised an issue that did not affect the outcome of the merits of Defendants' Motion for
2 Partial Summary Judgment. Defendants are entitled to move for reconsideration, but they must
3 do so within the word limits set forth in the Local Civil Rules. Defendants should also heed the
4 fact that motions for reconsideration are disfavored. LCR 7(h)(1). For these reasons the Court
5 DENIES the Motion.

6 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

7 Dated January 28, 2025.

8 

9 Marsha J. Pechman
10 United States Senior District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24